



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/524,632

02/16/2005

Hideo Nawata

TEI-0131

1448

7590
Rader fishman & Grauer
1233 20th Street N W
Suite 501
Washington, DC 20036

11/22/2010

EXAMINER

MATTER, KRISTEN CLARETTE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3771

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/22/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/524,632	Applicant(s) NAWATA, HIDEO	
	Examiner KRISTEN C. MATTER	Art Unit 3771	

All Participants:

(1) KRISTEN C. MATTER.

(2) MAULIN PATEL.

Date of Interview: 12 November 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Double patenting rejection

Claims discussed:
1-8

Prior art documents discussed:
US applicaiton No. 10/569,463

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner indicated that the terminal disclaimer (TD) filed 10/27/2010 was not approved because the attorney who signed the TD is not of record and asked applicant to file a power of attorney and a new TD promptly in order to move this case toward allowance. Applicant indicated that obtaining a new power of attorney and submitting a new TD would take a significant amount of time and that examiner should send a final action.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kristen C. Matter/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)